Boondoggled: A Case Report of Arts and Crafts Gone Wrong
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Self-insertion of foreign bodies into the urinary tract is a not-uncommon urological problem with significant psychosocial implications. A 12-year-old male presented to the ED when his father noted blood in the patient’s urine. During evaluation, the patient admitted to inserting Boondoggle, a plastic arts and crafts string, into his urethra 1 week prior. He admitted to performing this activity on multiple prior occasions. He subsequently developed worsening frequency, urgency, dysuria, hematuria, and suprapubic pain. Bladder ultrasound and abdominal x-ray were obtained which demonstrated a large amount of hyperdense material coiled in the bladder. He was brought to the operating room the following day for removal of the foreign body. After failed attempts at endoscopic retrieval, 34 feet of plastic string was removed via an open approach. The bladder was closed and a Foley catheter was left in place. He was discharged on post-operative day 2 on culture specific antibiotics after a successful void trial. Urethral masturbation has been associated with psychiatric disorders, fetishes, and sadomasochistic tendencies. For the urologist, it is critical to recognize the mental health issues portended by urethral foreign body insertion, and take the appropriate steps to address the underlying problem.

Case. A 12-year-old male presented to the ED when his father noted blood in the patient’s urine. When confronted, the patient admitted to inserting Boondoggle, a plastic arts and crafts string, into his urethra 1 week prior. He admitted to performing this activity on multiple prior occasions because “it feels like sex”. On this occasion the string was unable to be retrieved despite multiple extraction attempts with a Q-tip. He subsequently developed worsening frequency, urgency, dysuria, hematuria, and suprapubic pain. Bladder ultrasound and abdominal x-ray were obtained which demonstrated a large amount of hyperdense material coiled in the bladder (Fig. 1). Past medical history was significant for depression and attention deficit disorder. There was also a question of autism spectrum disorder. Two months prior he had been in a motor vehicle collision resulting in multiple facial lacerations with significant scarring. His parents were also recently divorced resulting in a complicated and fluctuating home environment.

Afebrile with no evidence of systemic infection, he was admitted, started on antibiotics, and brought to the operating room the following day for removal of the foreign body. On cystoscopy the bladder was severely inflamed and filled with neon green string (Fig. 1B). Attempts at transurethral removal were quickly abandoned as the string became knotted. The bladder was accessed via a Pfannenstiel incision. The knotted ball of boondoggle was carefully extracted which, when unraveled, consisted of a single strand 34 feet in length (Fig. 1 C-D). The bladder was irrigated thoroughly, closed, and a Foley catheter was left in place. He was discharged on postoperative day two on culture specific antibiotics after a successful self-insertion is usually not an isolated event (16-18). Particular attention should be paid to addressing the underlying motivations for this erratic behavior. At the very least this should include extensive education regarding the dangers of such activities. Psychiatric referral should almost always be considered to address the psychosocial disturbances that lead to this behavior.

Objective. To discuss psychosocial implications of genitourinary foreign body self-insert.

Reports of genitourinary foreign bodies (GUFB) are abundant in the literature. The etiology of GUFB can range from iatrogenic, the result of penetrating trauma, migration from adjacent organs, or self-insertion (1-8). Self-insertion, by far the most commonly reported etiology in the literature, has been implicated in a number of underlying psychosocial, developmental, and masochistic disorders. The need for psychiatric referral is commonly discussed, even when no known psychiatric diagnosis exists (9-11). The range of objects reportedly found in the genitourinary tract is truly astounding, and appears to be limited only by the caliber of the urethra and the creativity of the patient (12).

Symptoms of GUFB are typically those of the lower urinary tract, including dysuria, hematuria, suprapubic tenderness, or retention (13,14). Diagnosis is often made via patient history and symptomatology. However, this can be difficult as shame and humiliation often deters patients from being completely forthright about the preceding event. Imaging modalities including ultrasound, plain x-ray, and computed tomography (CT) have been used to aid in or confirm diagnosis, as well as to plan removal strategies. Surgical intervention is typically required, and should proceed stepwise from least to most invasive modality necessary (12,15).

While removal of GUFB may require a degree of creativity on the part of the physician, the instruments and surgical techniques reported are generally not outside the skillset of the average urologist. However, the overall management of these patients is not as straightforward. The focus should be on preventing recurrence, as GUFB
ful void trial. The patient was lost to follow up despite psychiatric referral and social services involvement.

Discussion

The etiology of GUBF is varied. Iatrogenic foreign bodies are a particularly sensitive issue with both medical and legal ramifications. Rafique et al. reported 16 cases of GUBF, of which 7 were iatrogenic. Foreign bodies included gauze sponges, a piece of fo-ley balloon, and the Teflon bead of a resectoscope (14). Multiple other reports of iatrogenic GUBF include sutures, mesh, migrated slings and sphincters, and silastic stents (1, 3, 19-22). In females, objects such as tampons, thermometers, and incontinence plugs can inadvertently be lost in the bladder following incorrect insertion (4, 6, 14). Kamil et al. reported a case of a foreign body found in the bladder of a 48-year-old female, which was accidentally inserted by her partner during consensual sexual act (5).

Polyembolokialamia, a form of paraphilia, is a drastically more complex problem than the cases of foreign bodies accidentally inserted into the GU tract mentioned above. In a review of the psychological profiles of multiple cases, Kenny postulated that a serendipitously discovered pleasurable stimulation of the urethral mucosa can be an inciting event, leading to repetition with various objects of unrecognized danger (16). The prevalence of such behavior is unknown as patients only come to attention when inserted objects are unable to be retrieved. While these objects are often removed without significant incident, serious complications have been reported, including urethral stricture, bladder perforation, and even complete urethral evulsion (2, 7, 8, 15, 23, 24). In 1988 Wyman reported the development of squamous cell carcinoma of the bladder associated with an intravesical foreign body (25). While uncommon, fatalities have been reported (26).

It has been postulated that traumatic events, underlying psychiatric disorders, or unusually strong libidinal drives could modify normal psychosexual drives leading to a fixation or regression to a urethral stage of eroticsm1 (1, 12, 18). The prevailing themes present in the psychiatric evaluation of GUBF self-inserters include chaotic and unstable home lives in childhood, psychosexual development disorders, sadomasochistic tendencies, and self-injurious behavior (10, 16). In the case presented, the patient displays multiple red flags for undergoing psychosexual derangements. With a history of recent trauma and an unstable home life, regression to urethral libidinal eroticsm in a pre- pubertal male should be perceived as a sentinel event warranting psychiatric evaluation.

Unfortunately, as is commonly the case, patient and family compliance with recommendations was not followed. Social workers have subsequently been involved with no resolution.

Figure 1. A - abdominal X-Ray with hyperdense foreign body visible in the bladder; B - endoscopic view of coiled foreign body within the bladder; C - open surgical removal of foreign body, mid-extraction; D - open surgical removal of foreign body, post-extraction.

Conclusion. GUBF insertion, particularly in children, is frequently the presenting symptom of an underlying psychoso- cial/psychosexual disorder. It should be viewed as a harbinger of future antisocial and self-injurious behavior. In children, urethral foreign body insertion is more commonly associated with mischief or curiosity. This case details an alarming sexual act in a pre-pubescent adolescent, which represents an early deviation in psychosexual development. For the urologist, it is critical to recognize the mental health issues portended by urethral foreign body insertion, and take the appropriate steps to address the underlying problem.
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